





Clinical Trials in Hyperthermia: Their Interpretation and Impact on Integrating Hyperthermia into Clinical Practice N R DATTA

Prof. Niloy Ranjan Datta

Department of Radiation Oncology, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences, India



Superficial hyperthermia:

Meta Analyses Randomised Controlled Trials

Efficacy

Post 4 NACT : FOLIRINOX

1 year Post-treatment

nent

Radiotherapy

Post HT + CTR

dependent rexate, Actinomycin D e, Taxane Synergistic: Cisplatin, Carboplatin, Mito **Pre-treatment** Hyperthermia (39'- 45'C) imity to tumour vas of CAM: results in higher global parenchymal tumour temperature **^** modulato ↑ lysis by N & CD8+ T ce Heating " inside out" results in higher ral tem perature and reduced evident from phase III damage to normal tissue ↑ priming CD8+ T cr clinical trials, conventional & Could be designed to deliver targeted chemotherapeutic agents and radioac tracers network meta-analysis HSP: Heat shock proteins; NK: Natural) Ag: Antigens; CAM: Cell adhesive mole killer; DC: Dendritic co tcule; LN: Lymph nod HTRT planning & online thermometry **Deep hyperthermia** Hyperthermia Integrating RT and HT treatment planning **Online thermometry (MRI with PRFS imaging)** Pretreatmen

Developments in Hyperthermia

Interaction with motherapeutic Agents

Thermoradiobiological Rationale





Hyperthermia today...

However

Hyperthermia is still NOT considered in the standard armamentarium for routine cancer therapy ?



"Law of Diffusion of Innovation" As could be applied to "Acceptance of Hyperthermia"





Law of "Diffusion of Innovation" - E.M. Rogers (1962)

Explains how over time an NEW idea gains momentum and diffuses (or spreads) to get widespread acceptance in specific population/ social system / health practices

"Law of Diffusion of Innovation"

As could be applied to "Acceptance of Hyperthermia"





"Law of Diffusion Innovation" As could be applied to "Acceptance of Hyperthermia"



Need evidence to cross the "Chasm" and integrate hyperthermia into clinical oncology practice



Clinical Practice of Medicine Evidence Based Medicine





Randomized Clinical Trial – The Gold Standard and Basis of Evidence-Based Medicine

Clinical Practice of Medicine Evidence Based Medicine



"THE CHICKEN - OR - THE CHICKEN EGG



The Dilemma Towards Generating Evidence for Hyperthermia in Clinical Practice

Publications on Hyperthermia, Induced And Cancer Hyperthermia ... as on Sept 1, 2022





Randomized Clinical Trials Hyperthermia ... as on Sept 1, 2022



Randomized Clinical Trials Major Sites



Total randomized clinical trials	: 55
Total number of patients	: 6,615 (35-373)

Sites	Number of trials	Total patients
Locally advanced cancer cervix (LACC)	11	1406
Locally advanced head & neck cancers (LAHNC)	2067.	388
Cancer ano-rectum	6	578
Cancer breast (Recurrent)	A 5	542
Cancer nasopharynx	5	766
Cancer oesophagus	5	453

Nos. of trials	Sites
3	Lung, Uveal melanoma
2	Urinary bladder, Superficial cancers
1	Soft tissue sarcomas, Bone metastasis, pelvic tumours, glioblastoma multiforme, recurrent/ persistent tumours, melanoma, stomach

Randomized Clinical Trials Treatment arms: Control and Study arms





Randomized Clinical Trials Treatment outcomes



Sites	Number of trials	Total patients	HT arm better	No difference	HT worse
Locally advanced cancer cervix (LACC)	11	1406	\bigcirc		1/11
Locally advanced head & neck cancers	6	388			-
Cancer ano-rectum	6	578	72.6%	22.6%	-
Cancer breast (Recurrent)	5	542	/3.0%	23.0%	-
Cancer nasopharynx	5	766			-
Cancer oesophagus	5	453		\checkmark	-

Locally advanced cancers of Head Neck, Breast and Cervix Level I evidence with Hyperthermia









Well designed phase III randomized trials are the key building blocks for meta-analyses to generate Level I evidence



How to interpret the randomized trials ? Key points for proposing new phase III randomized studies



ESHO Design 2022: Sample size calculation Clinical Endpoints Outcome analysis Reporting



Clinical Trials The key steps





Randomized Clinical Trials Research question : The PICO

- Primary research question to fill the gap in knowledge in the existing literature
 - Patients: Specify a well defined population ESHO School 20
 Intervention: HT + (RT ± CT ± S)
 Comparison: Standard therapy (RT ± CT ± S)
 - **Outcome:** Define **specific and pragmatic** end points













Phases of Clinical Trials



Randomized Clinical Trials Randomization An essential requirement

- Uses allocation concealment through randomizations
- Eliminates selection bias
- Permits use of probability theory any difference in outcomes could be merely due to chance
- Could blind identity of intervention to the investigators, participants and evaluators
- Method of randomization should be clearly stated
 - Simple randomization
 - Block randomization
 - Stratified randomization





Randomized Clinical Trials Blinding

- Blinding individual groups who can potentially introduce bias through • knowledge of the treatment assignments
- Various types of blinded studies •

Types of blinded trials	Patients	Clinicians	Data analysts
Unblinded / open label	×	×	×
Single blinded	Yes	×	×
Double blinded	Yes	Yes	×
Triple blinded	Yes	Yes	Yes



Single blinding not feasible for hyperthermia intervention



ESHO School 2022





Sample Size Calculation

Randomized Clinical Trials Sample size calculation

Correct conclusion

Based on

- Estimated outcomes in Study vs Control group
- Type 1 (α) error (False Positive error) (0.05)
- Type II (β) error (False Negative error) (0.1 0.2)
- Statistical power : 1 β (Ideally 80% or 90%)

Null Hypothesis	Real Difference				
Conclusion	No	Yes			
Null hypothesis (HO) not rejected	(1 – α)	Type II (β)			
Null hypothesis (HO) rejected	Type I (α)	Power (1-β)			

 α = 0.05, indicates that, in 1/20 trials, we may conclude a difference, although in real no difference exists

 β = 0.10, indicates that, in 1/10 trials, we may conclude no difference, although a real difference exists



Sample Size Calculation (SSC) Parameters influencing SSC



Parameters required for SSC	Characteristics	Impact of parameters
Study design	Single arm / randomized	Larger samples for randomized studies
Test of hypothesis	Superiority, non-inferiority or equivalence	Larger samples for Non-inferiority or equivalence trials
Type I (α) or II (β) errors	Probabilities of false +ve/-ve	Larger the errors, larger the sample
Power	1-β	Higher the power, larger is the sample
Effect size (difference)	Delta value (Expected difference between 2 arms)	Higher the delta, smaller is the required sample
Observed statistical significance	P value	Smaller the p value, larger the sample
Direction of statistical test	One-tailed/ two-tailed	Two-tailed have larger samples for same p value
Drop out rates	Add +10%	Add to SSC
Length of follow-up	For time-to-event variables	Longer the period, smaller is sample size

Randomized Clinical Trials Sample size calculation

_	×	(pc	wer=	0.8, si	gnific	ance	level	=0.05)		
	Percent for group 1										
	% Group 2	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90
P2)	10	74						_			
	20	34	199								
	30	21	62	293							
	40	15	32	81	356						
	50	11	20	39	93	387					
	60	8	13	23	42	97	387				
	70	6	10	14	23	42	93	356			
	80	5	7	10	15	23	39	81	293		
	90	4	5	7	10	14	20	32	62	199	
	100	2	4	5	6	8	11	15	21	34	74

(<u>http://www.3rsreduction.co.uk/html/6</u> power_and_sample_size.html)

Estimate the % outcome of control group (P1)

- Anticipate the % outcome of the study group (P2)
- Choose

•

- α (typically **0.05**),
- β values (typically 0.20), Power 80%
- One / Two-tailed test
- Seek help from a statistician





Clinical End points



Randomized Clinical Trials Endpoints of clinical significance





Survival end points Kaplan-Meir plots







Outcome Analysis



Randomized Clinical Trials Intention-to-treat analysis

- Includes all patients allocated and randomized in both study and control groups
- Preferred analysis strategy
- Problems:

ESHO School 2022:

- Missing outcomes
 - Carrying forward the last observation could introduce bias
 - Patients with missing outcomes : Should be **<10%** of randomized patients
- Nonadherence to protocol
 - If excluded, may do "Per protocol analysis"



Randomized Clinical Trials Per-protocol analysis

- Includes only patients who have completed entire clinical trial/ have complete data
- Patients categorized according to actual treatment received
- Weakness: Reduced power depending on non-compliance
- Could be prone to bias
- Could be carried out as a **secondary evaluation** to intention-to-treat analysis, results to be interpreted with caution





ESReporting²²



Randomized Clinical Trials Requirements : CONSORT Guidelines, 2010



Consolidated Standard of Reporting Trials

(<u>www.consort-statement.org</u>)

"Randomised controlled trials, when appropriately designed, conducted, and reported,

represent the gold standard in evaluating healthcare interventions. However, randomised

trials can yield biased results if they lack methodological rigour.".....

Guidance for reporting all randomized trials

- Flow Chart of the Trial
- 25 item Checklist



Flow diagram of the progress through the phases of a parallel randomised trial of two groups (that is, enrolment, intervention allocation, follow-up, and data analysis).





Randomized Clinical Trials... Participant flow Chart An example from Hyperthermia study (Phase III : CTHTRT vs CTRT)







Randomized Clinical Trials

Requirements : CONSORT Checklist, 2010...page 1

Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract			
	1a	Identification as a randomised trial in the title	
	1b	Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance see CONSORT for abstracts)	
Introduction			
Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	
objectives	2b	Specific objectives or hypotheses	
Methods	2	Description of this laboratory (such as a second of the training) is a laboratory of the	
I rial design	3a	Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	
D (1)	30	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons	
Participants	4a	Eligibility criteria for participants	
Interventions	4b	Settings and locations where the data were collected	
Interventions	5	actually administered	
Outcomes	6a	Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they	
		were assessed	
	6b	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons	
Sample size	7a	How sample size was determined	
	7b	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines	
Randomisation:			
Sequence	8a	Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	
generation	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	
Allocation	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers),	
concealment		describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	
mechanism			
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those	
CONSORT 2010 checklist			Page



Randomized Clinical Trials Requirements : CONSORT Checklist, 2010...page 2

		assessing outcomes) and how	
ani in to a 💶 🐨 a	11b	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions	
Statistical methods	12a	Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	
Results			
Participant flow (a	13a	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and	
diagram is strongly		were analysed for the primary outcome	
recommended)	13b	For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons	
Recruitment	14a	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	
	14b	Why the trial ended or was stopped	
Baseline data	15	A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was	
		by original assigned groups	
Outcomes and	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its	
estimation		precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing	
•		pre-specified from exploratory	
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)	
Discussion			
Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity applicability) of the trial findings	
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results balancing benefits and harms and considering other relevant evidence	-
Other information	22	Designation number and again of trial againty	
Registration	23	Registration number and name of that registry	
Protocol	24	where the tuil trial protocol can be accessed, if available	
Funding	25	Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	

*We strongly recommend reading this statement in conjunction with the CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration for important clarifications on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend reading CONSORT extensions for cluster randomised trials, non-inferiority and equivalence trials, non-pharmacological treatments, herbal interventions, and pragmatic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming: for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, see <u>www.consort-statement.org</u>.

CONSORT 2010 checklist

Page 2



ESHO School 2022: So whattsine out ?





Editorial

The heat is (still) on – The past and future of hyperthermic radiation oncology

Jens Overgaard *

Department of Experimental Clinical Oncology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus C, Denmark

Nov 2013

N R DATTA

Thus, the heat is still on – and we need to give full credit to the most powerful way of sensitizing ionizing radiation and thus once again focus on this not fully explored opportunity of combining radiotherapy with hyperthermia, but it must be done with an open mind and a cool head.

DR. SENNEWALD medizintechnik ambh oncotherm heckel **Radiation dose** hyperthermia 3D MRI guided magforce* offset 224 H Equivalent radiation dose Hybrid hyperthermia unit THE NANOMEDICINE COMPANY GTV Water proton resonance frequency Multi-slice gradient - echo (GRE) shift with increasing temperature sequence image from the MRI NDROMEDIC Srl GTV Hyperthermia temperature C < 37°C 37°C 38°C 39°C 40°C 41°C 42°C 23.0 Gy (50%) 32.2 Gy (70%) Sensius 36.8 Gy (80%) 41.4 Gy (90%) 43.7 Gy (95%) 45.1 Gy (98%) +10 mins Sense in thermotherapy +201 49.2 Gy (107%) 52.9 Gy (115%) +30 min +40 mins Heating time line with temperature changes +50 mins SYNCHROTHERM 0 mins (van Leeuwen et al, Int J Hyperthermia, 2018) Non invasive thermometry **Treatment planning : HT + RT** HYPERTHERMIA SYSTEM SMART AND EFFECTIVE

Hyperthermia

HT Delivery

Hyperthermia treatment delivery and thermometry

(Gellermann J et al, Cancer 2006; Kok HP et al, Int J Hyperthermia 2016, Kok et al, Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phy, 2017)





Take home message

- Practice of Evidence Based Medicine requires phase III randomized clinical trials to provide level I evidence with
 - Optimum trial design
 - Optimal sample size with $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.20$ School 2022:

N R DATTA

- Optimal and rationale endpoints
- Perform "Intent-to-analysis" for all endpoints
- Trial reporting as per CONSORT guidelines
- Well designed, phase III randomized trials would help to "Cross the Chasm" and facilitate integrating hyperthermia in clinical oncology practice









(niloydatta@mgims.ac.in)